

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,839	06/01/2001	George M. Harris	7586	2825
759	00 03/31/2003			
WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE ATRIUM SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			TAKAOKA, DEAN O	
32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD. PEPPER PIKE, OH 44124				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/871,839	HARRIS, GEORGE M.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dean O Takaoka	2817
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute. cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on A	mendment (A), paper no. 7.	
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	wance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	on.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,13,19,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-12,14-18 and 20 is/are objected to	Э.	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	or election requirement.	
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
	he drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2001</u> is/are: a		to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)[] T		is: a)	, ,
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		plication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B	ority documents have been rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.		action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/871,839

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference numbers 30 - 34 (Fig. 5).

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: reference numbers 55 – 65 (page 12, second paragraph). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The applicant is required to provide a copy of the drawings with proposed drawing changes marked in red ink as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/871,839

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 1 - 12, 14 - 18, 20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 12, 14, and 20 recite the limitation "power selective probe(s)" in the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The claims recite "power selective probe(s)", e.g. plural, where only a singular "power selective probe" is previously recited in the claims, thus there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 13, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmerman (US Patent No. 5,656,980) for reasons of record contained in the previous Office Action dated July 26, 2002 (paper no. 4).

Claims 1, 13, and 19 add the limitation "utilized in a high energy electromagnetic treatment system, the waveguide".

Claim 23 adds the limitation "high energy electromagnetic treatment system, the system utilizing a".

It is the position of the Examiner that the newly added limitation(s) "high energy electromagnetic treatment system" adds nothing to the claims and is anticipated by the

Art Unit: 2817

prior art of Zimmerman. The term "high", e.g. as in "high energy", is an open term where any device used as a power conduit, such as the device taught by Zimmerman, may be defined being used in a "high energy" application. Clearly Zimmerman teaches the device used in RF signal applications (cols. 1 and 2, all), with RF signals being defined by the Examiner as "high energy". Zimmerman further teaches the filtering of the RF signals (col. 2, lines 30-36) thus providing a "treatment system", thus anticipating the newly added limitation(s).

Claim 22 is unchanged and remains anticipated by Zimmerman.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 11, 2003 (Amendment A – paper no. 7) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The power division of current invention versus the prior art of Zimmerman is discussed. It is argued that Zimmerman teaches a coupling of an antenna to plural receivers providing "very low powered signals". The coupling of the antenna is indeed taught by Zimmerman, however the device of Zimmerman providing "very low powered signals" is subject to interpretation (e.g. what is defined as "high power" and "low power") and not commensurate with what is being claimed. It is asserted by the Examiner that the claims merely recite "high energy" which may or may not equate to "high power". Clearly Zimmerman teaches the device used in RF signal applications, with RF signals being of "high frequency", thus "high energy".

It is argued that the low power antenna coupling of Zimmerman is in a totally different field from that of the present invention, where it is argued that "it is not believed

Art Unit: 2817

suitable to attach Zimmerman to a high energy electromagnetic treatment system in that by being a filter, Zimmerman will lose efficiency by tuning energy into heat", to which the Examiner disagrees in that this is again subject to interpretation and is not commensurate with what is being claimed.

It is the position of the Examiner that the prior art of Zimmerman anticipates the newly added limitation(s) and that the newly amended claims are not patenably distinct from the prior art of Zimmerman.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of Zimmerman does not show the distance between the power divider and each of the power selective probes being within 0.1 of 91% of the wavelength of the center frequency.

Claims 2 - 12, 14 - 18, 20 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean O Takaoka whose telephone number is (703) 305-6242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a - 5:00p Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dot March 25, 2003

Robert Pascal
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800